

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/858,268		05/15/2001	Donald J. Ethen	RA-5388	2684	
7	590	09/15/2004		EXAMINER		
Charles A. Jo			PHAM, KHANH B			
Unisys Corpor M.S. 4773	ation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	P.O. Box 64942				2177	
St. Paul, MN	55164	l-0942	•			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S

3			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	B
Advisory Action	09/858,268	ETHEN ET AL.	J
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh B. Pham	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply th places the applicati	to a on in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S	n. Gee MPEP
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding ame the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The approprograms originally set in the final O	priate extension ffice action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ——		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	John	JOHN BREENE SORY PATENT EXAMINE TORY PATENT EXAMINE	e.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 09142004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-16 are rejected under U.S.C 102(b) as anticipated by Walster et al (US 5,794,239)

Applicant argued that Walster does not teach "one or more of the response definition including one or more commands and instructions for queuing a command to a command queue". On the contrary, Walster teaches the response definitions having "function descisions and function definition" at Fig. 2, element 54. Walster shows an example of "function descisions" 106 and "function definiton" 108 comprise a set of instructions at Fig. 4. The set of instruction is inputed into the message processor 50 and effects the output 56 (i.e., commands to be sent to a command queue). Therefore, Walster teaches"the response definition including one or more commands and instruction for queuing a command to a command queue" as claimed. The 102 rejection to claims 1-16 is hereby sustained.